

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/509,045

Applicant(s)

LUCA, TONCELLI

Examiner

Stephen J. Lechert Jr.

Art Unit

1732

**All Participants:**(1) Stephen J. Lechert Jr.(2) Mr. Gallagher.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 January 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Abstract is missing, heading of the Brief Description of the Drawings and requirement that the specification should refer to the 371 status on Page 1, line 1.*

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed with applicant's representative that the abstract is missing, heading of the Brief Description of the Drawings and requirement that the specification should refer to the 371 status on Page 1, line 1. Applicant's representative authorized changes to be made to correct these problems by examiner's amendment...